

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/671,800	09/28/2000	Bulent M. Basol	2022/48819	7925	
7:	590 02/05/2003				
Crowell & Morning LLP			EXAMINER		
Intellectual Property Group			LEADER, WILLIAM T		
P.O Box 14300 Washington, DC 20044-4300					
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1742	,	
			DATE MAILED: 02/05/2003	, / ,	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				<del></del>				
	Application No.		Applicant(s)	1/~				
<u> </u>	09/671,800		BASOL ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
	William T. Leader		1741					
Th MAILING DATE of this communication app	ars on the cov r	sh et with the c	orrespond nce add	aress				
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM								
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute.  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, howev within the statutory minir will apply and will expire S	er, may a reply be tim num of thirty (30) days IX (6) MONTHS from become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	<i>r.</i> mmunication.				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 f	November 2002 ar	nd 13 Novembe	<u>r 2002</u> .					
2a)  This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)  Th	is action is non-fir	ıal.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19,21-59 and 61-92</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-16,23-57,61-65,67-72 and 77-87</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6) Claim(s) <u>17-19,21,22,58,59,66,73-76 and 88-9</u>	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>17-19,21,22,58,59,66,73-76 and 88-92</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	/ <del></del>							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirer	nent.						
Application Papers	ır							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine		ed to by the Exa	miner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
				er.				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
	The same of the state of the same and a hour book received							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment(s)	_							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4)	Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (P					
		<del> </del>						

Serial Number: 09/671,800

Art Unit: 1741

Receipt of the papers filed on November 13, 2002, is acknowledged. In response

Applicant's election of Group II, claims 17-22, 58-60, 66 and 73-76 in Paper No. 15 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Applicant has additionally submitted new claims 88-92 which depend from elected claims. Accordingly, these newly presented claims are grouped with the elected claims. Claims 20 and 60 have been canceled.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371© of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is

-3-

Serial Number: 09/671,800

Art Unit: 1741

examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary.

Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103© and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 17-19, 21, 22, 58, 59, 66, 73-76 and 88-92 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious

Serial Number: 09/671,800

Art Unit: 1741

Independent claim 17 is directed to a layer structure usable in manufacturing an integrated circuit. Independent claim 58 is directed to a conductive material structure usable in manufacturing an integrated circuit. Independent claims 66 and 73 are directed to a workpiece.

The Ito patent discloses a (6,372,114) multilayer structure with a copper electrode buried in an insulating layer of a semiconductor device (column 1, lines 5-15. Figure 1E shows isolated inlaid conductors.

The Mayer et al patent (6,315,883) integrated circuits with a multilayer structure including damascene inlaid metallization (column 1, lines 22-30). See figure 8.

The Landau patent (6,261,433) discloses dual damascene semiconductor metallization in which a multilayer structure is formed. See figures 1A - 1E.

The Jang patent (6,350,364) discloses copper electroplated in damascene integrated circuit trenches to form conductive metal inter-connections (column 1, lines 5-10). See figures 1-3.

The products of the references have the structure of the product recited in applicant's claims. It is not apparent that the method used by applicant to form the recited structure imparts a difference in the structure itself.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

Serial Number: 09/671,800

Art Unit: 1741

applicant's disclosure. Itabashi et al (6,300,24), Ueno (6,245,676) and Maeda (6,241,869) all disclose multilayer semiconductor metallization structures..

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William Leader, whose telephone number is (703) 308-2530. The examiner can normally be reached Mondays-Thursdays and every other Friday from 7:30 AM to 4:00 PM eastern time.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nam Nguyen can be reached at (703) 308-3322. The fax phone number for *official* after final faxes is (703) 872-9311. The fax phone number for all other *official* faxes is (703) 872-9310. Unofficial communications to the Examiner should be faxed to (703) 305-7719.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

ルー William Leader:wtl January 23, 2003

DUNALD R. VALENTINE PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1208

Donald RValentine